Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/079,878	KATOH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher RoDee	1756	
All Participants:	rticipants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Christopher RoDee</u> .	(3)		
(2) Kirsten Grueneberg.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 August 2004	<u>4</u> Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 22			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner noted that claim 22 as presented is dependent on canceled claim 20 rather than claim 21. The Examiner suggested an Examiner's amendment to correct this problem and counsel agreed to that amendment, which is attached.			
Part III.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	